- "actions taken by the Commission in fulfillment of the Commission's duties under this Act":
- (ii) in paragraph (3), by adding "and" after the semicolon;
- (iii) in paragraph (4), by striking the semicolon and "and" and inserting a period; and (iv) by striking paragraph (5); and
- (B) in subsection (b)(1) by striking "activities" and inserting "recommendations";
 - (8) in section 12—
 - (A) in subsection (b)—
 - (i) in paragraph (1)-
- (I) in subparagraphs (A), (C), (D), and (E), by striking ", or the designee of the Secretary";
- (II) in subparagraph (B), by striking ", or the designee of the Librarian"; and
 - (III) in subparagraph (F)-
- (aa) in clause (i) by striking "government" and inserting "governmental entity"; and
- (bb) by amending clause (ii) to read as follows:
- $\lq\lq(ii)$ shall be selected among individuals who—
- "(I) have earned an advanced degree related to aerospace history or science, or have actively and primarily worked in an aerospace related field during the 5-year period before appointment by the President; and
- "(II) specifically represent 1 or more of the persons or groups enumerated under section 5(a)(1)."; and
- (ii) by adding at the end the following:
- "(2) ALTERNATES.—Each member described under paragraph (1) (A) through (E) may designate an alternate who may act in lieu of the member to the extent authorized by the member, including attending meetings and voting."; and
- (B) in subsection (h) by striking "section 4(e)" and inserting "section 4(d)"; and
 - (9) in section 13—
 - (A) by striking paragraph (4); and
- (B) by redesignating paragraph (5) as paragraph (4).

ANTICYBERSQUATTING CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

On August 5, 1999, the Senate passed S. 1255, as follows:

S. 1255

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; REFERENCES.

- (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act.".
- (b) REFERENCES TO THE TRADEMARK ACT OF 1946.—Any reference in this Act to the Trademark Act of 1946 shall be a reference to the Act entitled "An Act to provide for the registration and protection of trade-marks used in commerce, to carry out the provisions of certain international conventions, and for other purposes", approved July 5, 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1051 et seq.).

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

- (1) The registration, trafficking in, or use of a domain name that is identical or confusingly similar to a trademark or service mark of another that is distinctive at the time of the registration of the domain name, or dilutive of a famous trademark or service mark of another that is famous at the time of the registration of the domain name, without regard to the goods or services of the parties. with the bad-faith intent to profit from the goodwill of another's mark (commonly referred to "cyberpiracy" as "cybersquatting")-
- (A) results in consumer fraud and public confusion as to the true source or sponsorship of goods and services;

- (B) impairs electronic commerce, which is important to interstate commerce and the United States economy;
- (C) deprives legitimate trademark owners of substantial revenues and consumer goodwill; and
- (D) places unreasonable, intolerable, and overwhelming burdens on trademark owners in protecting their valuable trademarks.
- (2) Amendments to the Trademark Act of 1946 would clarify the rights of a trademark owner to provide for adequate remedies and to deter cyberpiracy and cybersquatting.
- SEC. 3. CYBERPIRACY PREVENTION.
- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 43 of the Trademark Act of 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1125) is amended by inserting at the end the following:
- "(d)(1)(A) A person shall be liable in a civil action by the owner of a trademark or service mark if, without regard to the goods or services of the parties, that person—
- "(i) has a bad faith intent to profit from that trademark or service mark; and
- "(ii) registers, traffics in, or uses a domain name that—
- "(I) in the case of a trademark or service mark that is distinctive at the time of registration of the domain name, is identical or confusingly similar to such mark; or
- "(II) in the case of a famous trademark or service mark that is famous at the time of registration of the domain name, is dilutive of such mark.
- "(B) In determining whether there is a badfaith intent described under subparagraph (A), a court may consider factors such as, but not limited to—
- "(i) the trademark or other intellectual property rights of the person, if any, in the domain name:
- "(ii) the extent to which the domain name consists of the legal name of the person or a name that is otherwise commonly used to identify that person;
- "(iii) the person's prior use, if any, of the domain name in connection with the bona fide offering of any goods or services;
- "(iv) the person's legitimate noncommercial or fair use of the mark in a site accessible under the domain name;
- "(v) the person's intent to divert consumers from the mark owner's online location to a site accessible under the domain name that could harm the goodwill represented by the mark, either for commercial gain or with the intent to tarnish or disparage the mark, by creating a likelihood of confusion as to the source, sponsorship, affiliation, or endorsement of the site:
- "(vi) the person's offer to transfer, sell, or otherwise assign the domain name to the mark owner or any third party for substantial consideration without having used, or having an intent to use, the domain name in the bona fide offering of any goods or services;
- "(vii) the person's intentional provision of material and misleading false contact information when applying for the registration of the domain name; and
- "(viii) the person's registration or acquisition of multiple domain names which are identical or confusingly similar to trademarks or service marks of others that are distinctive at the time of registration of such domain names, or dilutive of famous trademarks or service marks of others that are famous at the time of registration of such domain names, without regard to the goods or services of such persons.
- "(C) In any civil action involving the registration, trafficking, or use of a domain name under this paragraph, a court may order the forfeiture or cancellation of the domain name or the transfer of the domain name to the owner of the mark.
- "(D) A use of a domain name described under subparagraph (A) shall be limited to a

- use of the domain name by the domain name registrant or the domain name registrant's authorized licensee.
- "(2)(A) The owner of a mark may file an in rem civil action against a domain name if—
- "(i) the domain name violates any right of the registrant of a mark registered in the Patent and Trademark Office, or section 43 (a) or (c); and
- "(ii) the court finds that the owner has demonstrated due diligence and was not able to find a person who would have been a defendant in a civil action under paragraph (1).
- "(B) The remedies of an in rem action under this paragraph shall be limited to a court order for the forfeiture or cancellation of the domain name or the transfer of the domain name to the owner of the mark."
- (b) ADDITIONAL CIVIL ACTION AND REMEDY.—The civil action established under section 43(d)(1) of the Trademark Act of 1946 (as added by this section) and any remedy available under such action shall be in addition to any other civil action or remedy otherwise applicable.

SEC. 4. DAMAGES AND REMEDIES.

- (a) REMEDIES IN CASES OF DOMAIN NAME PIRACY.—
- (1) INJUNCTIONS.—Section 34(a) of the Trademark Act of 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1116(a)) is amended in the first sentence by striking "section 43(a)" and inserting "section 43 (a), (c), or (d)".
- (2) DAMAGES.—Section 35(a) of the Trademark Act of 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1117(a)) is amended in the first sentence by inserting ", (c), or (d)" after "section 43 (a)".
- (b) STATUTORY DAMAGES.—Section 35 of the Trademark Act of 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1117) is amended by adding at the end the following:
- "(d) In a case involving a violation of section 43(d)(1), the plaintiff may elect, at any time before final judgment is rendered by the trial court, to recover, instead of actual damages and profits, an award of statutory damages in the amount of not less than \$1,000 and not more than \$100,000 per domain name, as the court considers just. The court shall remit statutory damages in any case in which an infringer believed and had reasonable grounds to believe that use of the domain name by the infringer was a fair or otherwise lawful use."

SEC. 5. LIMITATION ON LIABILITY.

Section 32(2) of the Trademark Act of 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1114) is amended—

- (1) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) by striking "under section 43(a)" and inserting "under section 43 (a) or (d)"; and
- (2) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E) and inserting after subparagraph (C) the following:
- "(D)(i) A domain name registrar, a domain name registry, or other domain name registration authority that takes any action described under clause (ii) affecting a domain name shall not be liable for monetary relief to any person for such action, regardless of whether the domain name is finally determined to infringe or dilute the mark.
- "(ii) An action referred to under clause (i) is any action of refusing to register, removing from registration, transferring, temporarily disabling, or permanently canceling a domain name—
- "(I) in compliance with a court order under section 43(d); or
- "(II) in the implementation of a reasonable policy by such registrar, registry, or authority prohibiting the registration of a domain name that is identical to, confusingly similar to, or dilutive of another's mark registered on the Principal Register of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- "(iii) A domain name registrar, a domain name registry, or other domain name registration authority shall not be liable for

damages under this section for the registration or maintenance of a domain name for another absent a showing of bad faith intent to profit from such registration or maintenance of the domain name.

"(iv) If a registrar, registry, or other registration authority takes an action described under clause (ii) based on a knowing and material misrepresentation by any person that a domain name is identical to, confusingly similar to, or dilutive of a mark registered on the Principal Register of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, such person shall be liable for any damages, including costs and attorney's fees, incurred by the domain name registrant as a result of such action. The court may also grant injunctive relief to the domain name registrant, including the reactivation of the domain name or the transfer of the domain name to the domain name registrant.

"(v) A domain name registrant whose domain name has been suspended, disabled, or transferred under a policy described under clause (ii)(II) may, upon notice to the mark owner, file a civil action to establish that

the registration or use of the domain name by such registrant is not unlawful under this Act. The court may grant injunctive relief to the domain name registrant, including the reactivation of the domain name or transfer of the domain name to the domain name registrant."

SEC. 6. DEFINITIONS.

Section 45 of the Trademark Act of 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1127) is amended by inserting after the undesignated paragraph defining the term "counterfeit" the following:

"The term 'Internet' has the meaning given that term in section 230(f)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 230(f)(1)).

"The term 'domain name' means any alphanumeric designation which is registered with or assigned by any domain name registrar, domain name registry, or other domain name registration authority as part of an electronic address on the Internet.".

SEC. 7. SAVINGS CLAUSE.

Nothing in this Act shall affect any defense available to a defendant under the Trademark Act of 1946 (including any defense

under section 43(c)(4) of such Act or relating to fair use) or a person's right of free speech or expression under the first amendment of the United States Constitution.

SEC. 8. SEVERABILITY.

If any provision of this Act, an amendment made by this Act, or the application of such provision or amendment to any person or circumstances is held to be unconstitutional, the remainder of this Act, the amendments made by this Act, and the application of the provisions of such to any person or circumstance shall not be affected thereby.

SEC. 9. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Act shall apply to all domain names registered before, on, or after the date of enactment of this Act, except that statutory damages under section 35(d) of the Trademark Act of 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1117), as added by section 4 of this Act, shall not be available with respect to the registration, trafficking, or use of a domain name that occurs before the date of enactment of this Act.

FOREIGN CURRENCY REPORTS

In accordance with the appropriate provisions of law, the Secretary of the Senate herewith submits the following reports(s) of standing committees of the Senate, certain joint committees of the Congress, delegations and groups, and select and special committees of the Senate, relating to expenses incurred in the performance of authorized foreign travel:

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FOREIGN CURRENCIES AND APPROPRIATED FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBER AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95–384—22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE, NUTRITION, AND FORESTRY, FOR TRAVEL FROM MAR. 27, TO JUNE 3, 1999

Name and country	Name of currency	Per diem		Transportation		Miscellaneous		Total	
		Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. cur- rency	Forrign cur- rency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. cur- rency
Senator J. Robert Kerrey:									
France	Franc	2,774	462.00		1,119.56	320	53.30		1,634.86
Debra_A. Reed:	_								
France	Franc	716	119.00		3,123.93				3,242.93
Senator Patrick J. Leahy: Ireland	Pound	584.38	788.00		1.082.35				1.870.35
Senator Patrick J. Leahy:	Toulia	304.30	700.00		1.002.33				1,070.33
Northern Ireland	Dollar		254.00						254.00
John P. Dowd:									
Ireland		584.38	788.00		1,082.35				1,870.35
Northern Ireland	Dollar		254.00						254.00
Frederick S. Kenney II:									
Ireland	Pound	1,012.84	1,379.00		1,612.40				2991.40
Total			4,044.00		8,020.59		53.30		12,117.89

RICHARD G. LUGAR, Chairman, Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry, July 1, 1999.

CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FOREIGN CURRENCIES AND APPROPRIATED FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95–384—22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS FOR TRAVEL FROM APR. 1, TO JUNE 30, 1999

Name and country	Name of currency	Per diem		Transportation		Miscellaneous		Total	
		Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency						
Senator Ted Stevens:									
France	Franc	31.60	505.00					31.60	505.00
Senator Richard C. Shelby:	F	21.00	505.00					21.00	505.00
FranceSenator Ben Nighthorse Campbell:	Franc	31.60	505.00					31.60	505.00
France	Franc	31.60	505.00					31.60	505.00
Steve Cortese:									
France	Franc	31.60	505.00					31.60	505.00
Gary Reese: France	Franc	31.60	505.00					31.60	505.00
John Young:	FIdili	31.00	303.00					31.00	303.00
France	Franc	31.60	505.00					31.60	505.00
Wally Burnett:									
France	Franc	31.60	505.00					31.60	505.00
Tammy Perrin: France	Franc	31.60	505.00					31.60	505.00
Senator Daniel K. Inouye:	ITAIL	31.00	303.00					31.00	303.00
Japan	Yen	115,130	940.99					115,130	940.99
Charlie Houy:									
Japan	Yen	110,942	906.76					110,942	906.76
Total			5,887.75						5.887.75

TED STEVENS,